5 ## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MODIFYING RELOCATABLE OBJECT CODE FILES AND MONITORING PROGRAMS

SOURCE CODE APPENDIX

A microfiche appendix of c language source code for
10 the preferred embodiment (©1991 Reed Hastings) is filed
herewith. A portion of the disclosure of this patent document
contains material which is subject to copyright protection.
The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile
reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent
15 disclosure, as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office
patent file or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright
rights whatsoever.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

20 The present invention relates generally to a method
and apparatus for modifying relocatable object files. In
particular, the present invention relates to a method for
inserting additional instructions and data into an existing
relocatable object file of a computer program, for any purpose.
25 Most particularly, this purpose is to monitor memory access by
the computer program.

Despite the recent increase in CPU speeds and
software complexity, most programmers continue to rely on
development tools that were designed over fifteen years and
30 that have not changed significantly since then. These
development tools have serious inadequacies that exacerbate the
difficulties of developing large, complex programs.

Problems with developing applications in C/C++ are
often more serious than with other programming languages, but
35 are fairly typical. C/C++'s pointer and memory management
facilities make it difficult to build large, robust programs.
Prudent C/C++ programmers currently hesitate to use many
commercial object code libraries because they are worried they

bugs introduced by their particular use of a given library. The difficulty in tracking down these kinds of programming bugs and many others is directly tied to the manner in which executable code is created from source code and to the

5   inadequacies of current development tools.

The process of transforming source code into "executable" code is, briefly, as follows. The source code for a typical computer program is divided into many files. Some of these files may contain high-level language code, such as C,

10 C++, Pascal, Fortran, Ada, or PL1, and some may contain assembly language code. Each high-level language file is translated by a language-specific compiler into either a relocatable object file, or into an assembly language file. An assembler translates the assembly language files into

15 relocatable object files. A linker merges all of the relocatable object files into a single executable program.

As programs get larger and more complex, they become more difficult to test and debug. If one wants to monitor or analyze aspects of a program's behavior, the current practice

20 is to have the compiler output the extra instructions required to implement the desired monitoring. One example of this exists in many Pascal compilers; there is typically a way to request the compiler to output the extra instructions required to check array bounds at run time, and to signal an error if

25 there is a violation. Another example exists in many Unix/C compilers; most compilers will, upon request, output extra instructions to record how many times each function was called.

The approach of having the compiler output the extra instructions required to implement a monitoring or analysis

30 scheme is, however, flawed in at least three significant ways: First, modifying the compiler to output new sequences is difficult, and in practice, nearly impossible, because most programmers don't have the source code to the compiler. Second, recompiling all of a program's files just to get the

35 extra instructions inserted can be very time consuming and wasteful. Finally, not all code goes through a compiler; some is written in assembly language and does not get the new

requires <u>complete</u> coverage to work correctly cannot be implemented through only the compiler.

Some of the most vicious development problems relate to the difficulty in finding and eliminating a large class of memory-access related errors. Among the most important memory-access related errors that a programmer needs to detect are array bounds violations, uninitialized memory reads, free memory access, and data changing strangely.

Array bounds violations (where an array is any collection of data contiguous in memory) occur on those occasions when a program reads or writes past the end, or before the beginning, of an array and accesses whatever datum happens to be in that memory location.

Uninitialized memory reads happen when a program allocates some memory for data storage, but fails to initialize it completely. Later, an <u>uninitialized</u> portion is read, unintentionally providing a random value, which might sometimes cause to the program to fail, and sometimes not.

Free memory access describes the situation where a program deallocates some memory but incorrectly continues to use it. If the program reallocates that memory for another purpose, then it will be using the same memory for two different purposes, and the program will probably perform incorrectly.

"Data changing strangely" is a bit of a catch-all expression. Often there are many ways to change a datum, especially a "global" datum. The programmer can have a difficult time discovering which function is changing the datum incorrectly, in a given run of the program. What the programmer needs is to have a monitoring program tell him or her whenever a specified datum changes (this is called a watchpoint).

A comprehensive way to monitor the execution of today's and tomorrow's programs, in particular their memory access, is clearly needed by the program developer.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to one aspect of the invention, an object code file is expanded by inserting additional instructions and/or data between preexisting instructions and data, which may also be modified in some ways. A basically unlimited variety of additional instructions and data can be inserted for an equally wide variety of purposes. After the insertion step, the offsets in the file are checked and modified, if necessary, to reflect the new positions of the preexisting instructions and data, so that the expanded code will execute properly. In the preferred embodiment additional offsets in symbol tables, data relocation tables and instruction relocation tables are updated in the same general manner as the other offsets. The basic method is as follows:

An old object code table is formed in memory space, containing the preexisting instructions and data. Space is also allocated for other tables: a new object code table, an inter-item offset table, a forward index table, and a forward control index table. For each item in the old object code table (whether instruction or datum), the following four steps are performed: (1) making a new code block comprising any desired additional instructions and/or data and the item, and storing it into the new object code table; (2) storing the location of the item within the new object code table into the forward index table; (3) storing the location of the new code block within the new object code table into the forward control index table; and (4), for items that contain inter-item offsets, storing the location within the old object code table, of the offset and the base from which it is measured, into the inter-item offset table. Then, for each pair of offset/base locations in the inter-item offset table, the offset stored in the new object code table is updated using the index tables. Finally, the offsets in any symbol tables, instruction relocation structures, or data relocation structures in the old object code file are updated so that the new offset refers to the location in the new object code table to where the item referred to was moved.

According to a second aspect of the invention, all or substantially all the memory accesses of a given program are monitored (not including the memory accesses for instruction fetch), for the purposes of performing error-checking. In one embodiment, all the object code files for an executable program are processed, and instructions are added to implement the following monitoring scheme. A memory status array is established, with an entry for most memory locations that are validly accessible by the program. Each entry indicates the state of the corresponding memory location, and the state can be one of the following three: unallocated and uninitialized, allocated but uninitialized, and allocated and initialized. Before each preexisting instruction which accesses memory or which can change memory status, extra instructions are added to maintain the memory status array, and to use the memory status array to check for the errors of writing to unallocated memory and reading from unallocated or uninitialized memory. In one particular embodiment, the data sections of the object code files are expanded with extra dummy entries between each datum. These extra entries are assigned the status of unallocated and uninitialized, and aid in the detection of array bounds violations and similar data errors. In another particular embodiment, a list is stored of memory or datum locations which are to be watchpoints with which more comprehensive monitoring is to be performed.

A further understanding of the nature and advantages of the invention may be realized by reference to the remaining portions of the specification and the drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a block diagram a relocatable object file being expanded by an embodiment of the invention into a new relocatable object file;

Fig. 2 is a block diagram showing the relationship between a relocatable object file and an old object code table;

Fig. 3 illustrates the general data/instruction insertion method;

Fig. 4 is a flowchart of the general data/instruction insertion method;

Fig. 5 illustrates the general procedure for implementing a monitoring scheme by modifying the object files for an executable program.

Fig. 6 illustrates the different memory access states used in a particular embodiment of the invention directed to tracking memory access of a program;

Fig. 7 is a virtual memory map showing the portions of virtual memory available to a program;

Fig. 8 illustrates how calls to operating system routines are handled under an embodiment of the invention directed to memory access monitoring;

Fig. 9 illustrates how the object files for an executable program are processed by an embodiment of the invention directed to memory access monitoring; and

Fig. 10 illustrates the formation of new code blocks to implement the memory access monitoring of the preferred embodiment.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

This description is sufficiently detailed for an understanding of the invention, but for those interested in more details of implementation, a microfiche appendix containing the source code for the preferred embodiment is attached and references to specific portions within it are provided.

Arbitrary Instruction Insertion

One aspect of the present invention is a method for expanding a relocatable object file, typically by inserting new instructions and data between preexisting instructions and data of the file, without recompilation being necessary. Fig. 1 illustrates a preexisting object code file 1 ("oldfile.o") being augmented by expansion means 5 to form a new object code

means 5 is a general purpose computer having a memory and operating under the control of a computer program. Since expansion means 5 takes object files as input, monitoring schemes can be comprehensive; the method can be applied to all code that goes into the final executable product, not just those portions for which source code is available.

The particular embodiment of expansion means 5 described herebelow is designed for a Sun3/60 running Sun OS 4.1.1, using the C/C++ compilers available from Sun Microsystems, Inc., of Mountain View, California, so the description is particular in some respects to that system. In that system, a standard format for relocatable object file 1 (.o file) has 7 parts, as shown in Fig. 2:

- A 32 byte header 11, which describes the lengths of the other parts.
- Binary machine instructions 12a.
- Binary data 12b.
- Symbols 13, which have a name (an index into the string section), a type, a value, and other minor fields.
- Instruction relocation data structures 14, which specify which bytes in the instruction section are unresolved references to other data or functions.
- Data relocation data structures 15, which specify which bytes in the data section are unresolved references to other data or functions.
- Strings 16, the names of the symbols.

The pre-existing instructions will generally contain many references to other instructions in terms of offsets; that is, in terms of the number of bytes separating the instructions in the object code file. When new instructions are inserted into the code, these offsets are corrected by expansion means 5. Simply modifying instructions, as opposed to adding new ones, may lengthen or shorten the instruction and also require offsets to be corrected. Furthermore, the instructions, symbols, and relocation structures also contain references to data and instructions, and these references will often be in

section which contains the data and instructions. These are updated in a similar manner.

The value field of certain symbols is an offset into the preexisting instructions and data, and thus must be

5   replaced with the offset of the location to which the preexisting item has been forwarded. The symbols that need updating are those whose type field is one of: N_TEXT, N_BSS, N_DATA, N_STSSYM, N_LCSYM, N_SO, N_SOL, N_ENTRY, N_LBRAC, N_RBRAC AND N_ECOMM. These symbol types are defined in the

10 Sun-supplied include file /usr/include/stab.h (in which "text" generally refers to instructions). Relocation structures have a field named "r_address" which (like the value field of symbols) is an offset into the preexisting instructions and data and must be updated with the new location to where the

15 bytes originally pointed to have been moved. In addition, for local relocations, the bytes pointed to by the "r_address" field are themselves an offset that must be updated.

The extra instructions inserted are often associated with particular pre-existing instructions and must be executed

20 every time that pre-existing instruction is executed, in some cases just before that pre-existing instruction is executed. Some of the references to instructions in preexisting object code file 1 will be offsets used to transfer program control to those points during execution. In this case the instruction

25 offsets are adjusted to point to the beginning of the extra code associated with that pre-existing instruction. In other cases, such when a references points to data, even if extra data is inserted around a particular datum, the reference may still need to point directly to that datum. The data and

30 instructions are generally treated as one section. References to both data and instructions are indexed from the beginning of the section containing the instructions and data. Data may be intermingled with instructions, as well. Expansion means 5 determines whether a particular value in the object file is a

35 datum or an instruction, and also determines the purpose of each reference offset. Furthermore, compilers often put unnamed data, such as constants referred to in the source code,

differentiate this data from actual instructions a simplified
dead-code analysis is used, starting from the named entry
points specified by the symbols of type N_TEXT and the entry
points derived from the instruction relocation structures. An

5   instructions that cannot be reached are considered to be data.
One exception is that some object code libraries of at least
one earlier Sun OS, 4.03, have several named entry points of
type N_TEXT that are data, not entry points, and are therefore
ignored for these purposes. The names of these data are

10   "_BYADDR", "_NETGROUP", "__ypsleeptime", and
"__ypserv_timeout". Another exception is that at least one
compiler, gcc (from the Free Software Foundation), puts named
constant data into the instruction section. If the object fil
was compiled by gcc, the first word of each named entry point

15   is checked. If it is a link instruction, the entry point is
considered a function; otherwise, data.

          The instruction insertion process of expansion means
5 will now be described in detail with reference to
Figs. 2 - 4. Fig. 2 shows a layout in storage media of a

20   relocatable object file 1 containing a section 12 of
instructions 12a and data 12b. Section 12 is copied into a
block of memory allocated for the old object code table 20 (se
FILES.C, "Initialize"). Each item in table 20 is indicated
generally by a horizontal line, and the byte location for each

25   item is shown to the left side (note: byte locations are shown
in decimal); different items may have different lengths.
Expansion means 5 allocates memory for other tables, shown in
Fig. 3: a new object code table 30, a forward index table 40,
forward control index table 50, and an inter-item offset

30   table 60, described below. Then, starting at the beginning of
the old object code table 20, each entry in table 20 is
processed.

          Fig. 4 shows a general flowchart for the method
performed by expansion means 5. Block 100 indicates the

35   preparation of tables 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60, described above,
and block 110 indicates the step of identifying entry points t
functions (see INSERT.C, "FindFunctions"). Block 115 indicate

process performed on each function are shown in the loop
composed of blocks 120-190 (see INSERT.C, "DoOneFunction").

In step 120 of Fig. 4, the first item in the old
object code table is selected. Fig. 3 shows parts of memory

5   affected during steps 130 to 200 of the expansion process.
Locations (bytes) 1 to 125 of table 20 have already been
processed, and occupy locations 1 to 181 of new object code
table 30. The next entry (an object code instruction within a
function, indicated by its mnemonic "BEQ +6") at location 126

10   in old object code table 20 is then processed at step 130.
This is a four byte instruction; it begins at location 126, and
the next instruction begins at location 126 + 4 = 130
(decimal). Two opcode bytes are shown simply as BEQ, and the
"6" is a two byte offset pointing to the "RTS" instruction

15   beginning at location 132. Expansion means 5 forms a new code
block 33 (see INSERT.C, "DoOneInstruction"), containing just
the BEQ statement because no additional instructions or data
are inserted. The offset is indicated by a question mark in
table 30, because its new value is not yet known. Expansion

20   means 5 allocates the maximum of four bytes for the offset,
even though the original offset was only two bytes (and the BEQ
opcode is slightly modified to correspond to a four byte
offset). This is done because after expansion, the new offset
might be too large for the number of bytes of the previous

25   offset.

Referring to Figs. 3 and 4 together, in step 140 the
location of the BEQ instruction within new object code table 30
(location = 182) is stored into location 126 of a forward index
table 40. In general, the new location of each byte of an

30   instruction is stored in table 40, but in this case, the only
entries that are significant are at location 126 of table 40,
which indicates that the BEQ statement now begins at location
182 of table 30, and location 128 of table 40, which indicates
that the offset of the BEQ statement now begins at location 184

35   of table 30. The ellipses ("...") indicate that one or more
values are present but have no significance. For example,
location 129 of table 40 would correspond to the second byte of

offset gets expanded from two bytes to four, so the individual
bytes of the offset cannot be indexed separately.

     Next, in step 150, the location of new code block 33
(location = 182) is stored in forward index control table 50.
5 Even though there is space for the new locations for each byte
of the BEQ 6 statement, only the location of the beginning of
the statement is significant. Note that in some expansion
schemes, the preexisting instruction might always be located at
the beginning of the new code block, in which case the same
10 information would be recorded in both forward index table 40
and in forward control index table 50; dual recordation of this
information would, however, be a waste of space. The best
approach, therefore, envisions a forward table which, as
explained above, may or may not need to include the two
15 separate sub-tables, forward index table 40 and forward control
index table 50.

     Next, in step 160, expansion means 5 determines that
this instruction contains an inter-item offset (an inter-item
offset is a reference to an instruction or datum expressed in
20 terms of its distance in bytes from a second instruction or
datum). This determination is made by examining the opcode of
the instruction (see INSERT.C, "RecordPcRelInstr"). Since this
instruction contains an inter-item offset, step 170 is
performed, whereby the old location of the offset (128 in table
25 20), the old location of the base from which the offset was
measured (126 in table 20), and the old size of the offset (2
bytes) are all stored in inter-item offset table 60. For any
instruction which denotes the beginning of a switch table of
inter-item offsets, each offset is stored in table 60 as above,
30 with the beginning of the switch table entered as the base (see
INSERT.C, "DoSwitchTable"). In step 180 the loop is repeated
if any unprocessed items remain. Since there are still more
unprocessed it ms in table 20, step 190 selects the next item,
"Add 1,(A0)", and the loop is begun again at step 130.

35   Repeating steps 130-180, expansion means 5 forms a
new code block 35 from the add instruction and new instruction
α, β, and γ, which in this particular case precede the add

new object code table 30, with the add instruction located at location 194. The location of the add instruction within new object code table 30 (location = 194) is stored into location 130 of a forward index table 40. This indicates that the item

5 which was in location 130 of old object code table 20 is now in location 194 of new object code table 30. The location of new code block 35 within new object code table 30 (location = 188) is stored in location 130 of forward control index table 50. This indicates that the new code block formed from the item

10 located at entry 130 of old object code table 20 is located at entry 188 of new object code table 30. The add instruction does not contain an inter-item offset, so nothing is entered into table 60.

Now this cycle is repeated for the next item in old

15 object code table 20, "RTS" (return from subroutine) at location 132. A new code block 37 is formed, but it is determined that there are no new instructions to be inserted with the return instruction, so new code block 37 consists only of the return instruction. New code block 37 is stored at the

20 next available location within new object code table 30, location 198. The location of the return instruction within new object code table 30 is stored into location 132 of forward index table 40; the location of new code block 37 within new object code table 30 is stored in location 132 of forward

25 control index table 50. Since the return instruction and new code block 37 are the same, the number 198 gets stored into location 132 of both index tables. In this example, the return instruction does not contain an inter-item offset, so nothing is stored in inter-item offset table 60. Unnamed constant data

30 is sometimes stored in between functions, after the last instruction of a function and before the next entry point; it may be processed as desired or simply copied directly into the new object code table.

After steps 120-190 have been done for all items in

35 all functions, step 195 repeats the expansion process of blocks 120-190 for all named data. The expansion process is somewhat simpler for data because it does not contain any offsets such

means 5 corrects the inter-item offsets (see PATCH.C, "PatchPcRel"). The inter-item offset table is examined, and for each set of offset/base locations in that table, the inter-item offset is patched by: first, adding the indicated offset

5   to its base to determine which item in old object code table 20 was targeted by the offset; next, looking up the new location of the targeted item, using forward control index table 50 if the offset is used for program control transfer (such as a jump or call), and using forward index table 40 otherwise; also,

10   looking up the new locations of the offset and base, using forward index table 40; and, finally, patching the offset in new object code table 30 with the difference between the new location of the targeted item and the new location of the base.

        In this particular example, step 200 involves the

15   offset/base pair of 128/126. The offset is looked up at location 128 in table 20, where the value 6 is found. This is added to the base of 126 to yield a target location of 132. Because this offset is used in a program control transfer statement (branch), the new target location is looked up in

20   table 50, which provides a new target location of 198. The new offset and base locations are looked up in table 40, providing a new base location of 182 and a new offset location of 184. The difference of 198 minus 182, 16, is then stored at the new offset location, 184. This process is repeated for all entries

25   in table 60.

        Next, if the object file contains any symbol tables or relocation tables which are to be corrected, these are analyzed item by item in step 210, and corrected by replacing old item locations with new item locations, as explained above

30   (see also PATCH.C, "PatchTextReloc" and "PatchdataReloc"). The new item locations are looked up in forward index table 40 (except for debugging symbols, the new locations for which are looked up in forward control index table 50). A new object code file 1' is now written, using the new object code table as

35   the data/instruction section, using the new symbol and relocation tables if corrected, and using the remaining information from the old object file 1.

Memory Access Monitoring

This aspect of the invention is directed to a process of tracking reads and writes of memory by an application program In the preferred embodiment, all object files of the

5 application program are processed by a memory monitor equippii program that uses the above described expansion means and data/instruction insertion process to insert a function call before every instruction that includes a memory access, and before some instructions that change the stack pointer. All (

10 substantially all of the memory accesses of a given program (not including the memory accesses for instruction fetch) are thereby monitored, for the purposes of performing error checking. All of the object code files for an executable program are processed (except for a library of routines to be

15 added by the memory monitor equipping program), and instructions are added to implement the monitoring scheme described below.

The general procedure of implementing a monitoring scheme to discover errors in an executable program, by

20 modifying all of the object code files for the executable program, linking the modified program and then running it, is illustrated in Fig. 5. A first object file or library for the executable program is selected in block 300. If the file is determined to be a simple object file rather than a library, i

25 block 310, then the object file is processed in block 320 to implement a monitoring scheme, by the expansion process described above; also, functions within the object file may be renamed, as described below. If the file is determined to be library in block 310, then each object file that contributes t

30 the library is processed in block 330, in the same manner that a simple object file is processed by block 320. Then, in bloc 340, the library is rebuilt from the modified object files. After the object file or library has been processed, block 35(determines if any unprocessed files remain for the executable

35 file. If so, block 360 selects an unprocessed file and then the steps of blocks 310-350 are repeated. Once it is determined in block 350 that all files for the original

is performed in block 370, which may include linkage with an extra library file including functions specially designed for the monitoring scheme. The program is then executed in block 380; during this execution, the monitoring added by the expansion process is performed.

In the memory access monitoring method of the preferred embodiment, the expanded code establishes a memory status array with an entry for most memory locations validly accessible by the program, in which two-bit array entries are allocated for each such memory location. Each entry indicates the state of the corresponding memory location, and the state can be one of the following three: (1) unallocated and uninitialized (status bits = 11); (2) allocated and uninitialized (status bits = 01); and (3) allocated and initialized (status bits = 00). Before each preexisting instruction that accesses memory or that can change memory status, extra instructions are added to maintain the memory status array, and to use the memory status array to check for the errors of writing to unallocated memory and reading from uninitialized or unallocated memory. A state transition diagram is shown in Fig. 6, which illustrates the three states a memory location can have, and how states are changed. State (1) is indicated by reference numeral 101; state (2), 102; and state (3), 103. The first bit of a status code indicates whether the memory location is unallocated; the second bit indicates whether the memory location is uninitialized. Memory locations in state 1 are unwriteable and unreadable; those in state 2 are writable but unreadable; and those in state 3 are writable and readable.

The status codes generally begin as 11 (state 1, unallocated), and during execution of the modified application program, change as follows: on a successful call to "malloc" (a c memory allocation routine), the status bits for each byte are set to 01; on a successful call to "free", the status bits for each byte are set to 11; on a successful call to "realloc", the status bits for the old memory are set to 11, and for the new, to 01 (the bits for that part of the new memory that is

pointer is decremented, the status bits for the bytes on the stack now allocated are set to 01. When a byte is about to be written, the first bit of its status bits is checked-- if the bit is set, an error is signalled, else the readable bit is

5 cleared (since the byte will now be initialized). Similarly, when a byte is about to be read, the second bit of its status bits is checked-- if the bit is set, an error is signalled. As a special case, when a byte is about to be copied from one memory location to another, the read of uninitialized memory is

10 allowed, but the destination is marked as uninitialized, so that a copy operation on a structure with uninitialized bytes such as those from compiler padding will not cause an error to be signalled. In the preferred embodiment, status checking and changing is handled by a group of specialized runtime functions

15 which are called at the appropriate points.

Fig. 7 represents the entire 32-bit virtual address space and is not to scale. The memory region 300 at the bottom of the address space, which corresponds to the static

20 information in the program, begins and remains in State 3. Memory region 300 contains the instruction codes 301, the data 302, and the BSS data 303 (data loader-initialized to zero). The bytes in heap 400, which are manipulated via the malloc, realloc, and free functions, change state frequently. This

25 memory is in State 1 to start, then goes to State 2 when it is malloc'd, and to State 3 once it has been written; it goes back to State 1 when it has been freed. Memory region 500 is available to the stack. Memory 500 is in State 1 if it is below the stack pointer. As the stack pointer moves down,

30 parts of this memory become "allocated", and are in State 2. Once the stack is written to the status goes to State 3. As the stack pointer moves up, it goes back to State 1. It is possible to treat every movement of the stack pointer as an allocation or deallocation, and to call the same routines as

35 are called for malloc and free. This causes significant performance degradation, however, because the stack pointer changes frequently. A simplified way to track the status of

compare the location of the referenced memory to the stack
pointer. Memory in this region and above the stack pointer is
looked up in the status bit table; memory in this region and
below the stack pointer is considered to be in state 1. The
5   method of stack pointer handling by the preferred embodiment
is: (a) On entry to a function, where a link instruction
allocates stack space for the function's local variables, a
call is inserted to mark this affected memory as state 2.
(b) When an argument is pushed onto the stack, a call is
10   inserted to mark the affected memory as state 3. (c) When the
stack pointer is incremented (reclaiming stack space) nothing
is done. This is tied to the method for looking up the status
bits for a given byte, which employs the rule, "if the byte is
on the stack, but below the stack pointer, then ignore the bit
15   table, and use the state 1 (unallocated) bits." (d) Calls to
"alloca" are handled specially, and the affected memory is set
to status 2.

There is an additional complication for stack
variables. Optimizing compilers rearrange code to increase
20   performance; one of the optimizations that they make is to move
simple assignments out of loops. Sometimes this can result in
an uninitialized stack variable being accessed, but, the result
is not used. Unfortunately, a monitored program would not
determine that the result is not used, and would signal an
25   error. Such unnecessary signalling of errors is avoided by
inhibiting uninitialized stack variable checks in optimized
code by marking local variables in the optimized stack-frame as
initialized (i.e., in state 3).

The status bits for the memory from 0 to the top of
30   heap 400 are kept in a first bit-array; the status bits for
stack memory 500 are kept in a second bit-array. Virtual
memory outsid of memory regions 300, 400, and 500 is obtained
for storing these bit arrays using the "mmap" system call. To
locate the status bits for an arbitrary byte at an address, the
35   method is: if the address is below the top of heap 400, then
the bit index is 2 times the address; if the address is in
stack memory region 500, then the bit index is the address

otherwise, the address must be a special address, such as
shared memory, and is ignored.

5

The current state of a memory location could be
indicated without the use of status arrays. The value stored
at a memory location would indicate the status of that
location. One particular value would represent the unallocated
state (state 1), another particular value would represent the
allocated and uninitialized state (state 2), and all other
values would represent user data in state 3. Obviously,
however, single-byte values to not have a significant range, so
the values representing states 1 and 2 would often occur in
valid user data, causing errors to be incorrectly signalled.
This problem could be minimized by using two or four byte
sequences to indicate memory status, reducing the odds of
random occurrence, but then single-byte access checking would
not be easily supported. For this reason, the use of one or
more separate status arrays is believed to be preferable.

The code for operating system routines does not get
linked into the user's program. This code is thus not
available to be processed according to the invention, and the
memory status monitoring code cannot be inserted. For this
reason the monitor process must take special measures to track
system calls in which the operating system accesses the
program's memory directly. The same special measures are also
taken to track the heap management functions "malloc", "free",
and "realloc".

These special measures are shown in Fig. 8, which
shows a process for intercepting all of the calls to a given
set of functions, by modifying the name of every definition
(usually there is only one) of these functions, and replacing
their old names with new names. Interceptor functions are then
provided under the old names; these interc ptor functions
typically call the intercepted functions as well as having
other code. Given a function name, f, and its desired
replacement, F, which must not have a longer string length than
f, each object file is scanned for external symbols (types

is written over the name f. When the linker runs, the only
definition of f will be the interceptor function, and when the
program runs the interceptor function f will be called in place
of the original f, which has been renamed F. To support the
5   name of F being longer than f, the string table may copied and
extended, and then all of the old references, which are in the
symbol section, are patched into the new string table.

In the preferred embodiment the data sections of the
10   object code files are expanded with extra dummy entries between
each datum or array of data. These extra entries are assigned
the status of unallocated and uninitialized, and aid in the
detection of array bounds violations and similar data errors.
The preferred embodiment also establishes a list of memory or
15   datum locations which are to be watchpoints with which more
comprehensive monitoring is to be performed. These additional
aspects of the preferred embodiment are described in more
detail below.
In order to detect many array bounds violations, 8
20   bytes of memory are allocated before and after each array in
the heap, data and bss segments. These 8 bytes are marked as
State 1 (unallocated) so that if the program accesses off the
end of an array, it will access State 1 memory, and trigger the
signalling of an error. For heap arrays, the status bits are
25   set when the array is allocated. For statically allocated
arrays, a special 8 byte value (unlikely to be encountered
randomly) is inserted between each statically allocated datum.
When the monitored program starts execution, the statically
allocated memory is searched for occurrences of the 8 byte
30   value. The status bits for each such occurrence are set to
state 1. The error signalling routine looks for the special 8
byte values to print more informative messages ("Array bound
violation", in place of "memory access violation"). Stack
arrays are not currently delimited with the 8 byte markers,
35   although they could be if so desired.
There are some further complications with this method
of tracking arrays, however. Occasionally, either the compiler

and uses it as an upper-limit pointer. If the array is defined
in the same file upper-limit pointer is used, then the
relocation information provided by the compiler is identical to
that provided for a reference to the beginning of the next

5   array. In general, any link-time reference to an address
between two data could be intended as either to the end of the
first datum or to the beginning of the second. When the data
are separated, as described in the preceding paragraph, those
two points will no longer be identical. Almost always, the

10   reference is to the beginning of the second, and that
assumption can be made. It is possible to implement a check to
determine if the reference is used solely as an upper-limit
pointer or not, and have the reference patched accordingly.
Another alternative is to allow the programmer to suppress the

15   insertion of the 8 byte data separator in files that use an
upper-limit pointer for locally defined arrays.

        Watchpoints are implemented by setting the read and
write status bits of the bytes to be watched to 11 (binary) and
by adding the location of the watchpoint to a watchpoint list.

20   When the error signalling routine is called, the address being
checked is compared against the list of current watchpoints.
If there is not a match, the error signalling routine continues
normally. If there is a match, then the status bits to be
manipulated are in a watchpoint-specific data structure, and

25   the error routine calls the watchpoint routine, which typically
prints a message, and returns without signalling an error.

        The above described memory access monitoring of the
preferred embodiment is implemented by the methods illustrated

30   in Figs. 4 and 5, wherein the formation of new code blocks,
step 130 of Fig. 4, is performed according to the method
described hereinbelow with reference to Fig. 10, and wherein
function definitions of operating system routines that access
memory are intercepted as described above. Also, the original

35   initial entry point to the program is redefined to point to
monitoring setup code, which when finished, transfers to the
original initial entry point. The monitoring setup code is

thus the first code executed in the modified executable
program, and establishes the memory status arrays.

Referring to Fig. 10, for this formation of new code
blocks, block 130.1 determines the processing of the item

5   according to whether it is an instruction (part of a function)
or a datum. If it is a datum, blocks 130.2 to 130.4 copy the
datum into the new code block with a dummy entry before and
after, to enable the array bounds checking described above.
For instructions, it is determined in block 130.5 if they

10 access memory. If so, block 130.6 adds an instruction to push
onto the stack the memory address(es) to be accessed, and block
130.7 adds a call to the appropriate special runtime function
that will check and set the appropriate status bits as well as
signal errors and handle watchpoints. Finally, in block 130.8,

15 the item itself (the preexisting original instruction) is
copied into the new object code table, and the procedure of new
code block formation step 130 is completed. The remainder of
the method of modifying the executable program and monitoring
its execution is as described above with reference to Figs. 4

20 and 5.

Alternative Embodiments

Rather than being added through object code
processing, the instructions used to implement monitoring could

25 be added in a compiler based or precompiler based manner, both
of which have some advantages and significant disadvantages,
however. A compiler normally generates during compilation all
the necessary information to implement this monitoring; what is
lacking, basically, is for the compiler to add extra code as

30 illustrated in Fig. 10. The disadvantages of this approach are
that recompilation for error checking consum s much more time
than th above described object code proc ssing, and that
source code access to all involved libraries is necessary to
ensure compreh nsive and accurate error ch cking. A

35 precompiler based approach, which would insert extra source
code statements into source code files, would suffer all of the
disadvantages of a compiler based approach, although it would

Yet anoth r alternative approach would be for the invention to add the monitoring code directly into a previously linked program. Since an executable program has the same basic format as a relocatable object file, the program could be
5 processed as one large object file. This would entail a more involved dead code analysis to distinguish data from instructions, and there would be both relative and absolute addresses to be updated rather than just relative addresses (offsets).
10

It is to be understood that the above description is intended to be illustrative and not restrictive. Many other embodiments will be apparent to those of skill in the art upon reviewing the above description. For instance, provisions for
15 shared memory could be made, such as with yet another bit table, but the bit tables should then also be shared, and all programs which access the shared memory should correctly maintain the status codes. Also, another example of a monitoring scheme especially suitable for implementation
20 through the above object code expansion would be standard profiling. The scope of the invention should, therefore, be determined with reference to the appended claims, along with the full scope of equivalents to which such claims are entitled.